Section I. Property Information

PROJECT COORDINATORS COMPLETE SECTION I.

·	ENTIRE FORM MUST BE COMPLETED PRIOR TO MARKETING PROPERTY				
İ	PHEYNOLVANDA CHIMMAD COLEGUE DE COLEGUE PROPRETE EN AND XAUC ADDIACE AND PARTOLEUS.				
Submitted by:	Earl Pettiford				
Phone #:	<u>612-673-5231</u>				
Form Initiated Date:	<u>11/17/2011</u>				
Complete by Date:					
1. Address:	5201 Oliver Ave N				
2. Property Identific	cation Number (PIN): <u>1111821120096</u>				
3. Lot Size:	60.0 x 126.0				
4. Current Use:	VLND				
5. Current Zoning:	5. Current Zoning: R1/SH				
6. Proposed future	use (include attachments as necessary): Residental				
7. List addresses o	f adjacent parcels owned by CPED/City: 5200 Penn Ave N				
8. Project Coordina	ator comments: Advertise for Single Family Development				
PROJECT COORDINAT	OR: EMAIL FORM TO ARLENE.ROBINSON@MINNEAPOLISMN.GOV				
Section II. Zoning Review					
	ildable for any structure 🖂 Non-Buildable for any structure 🗌				
Explain: SF Housing development is allowed					
	e applications be required to achieve the proposed future use noted in item 6?				
	If yes, what applications? At minimum, administrative site plan review				
will be required.					
11. Comments:					
Completed by: To	<u>m Leighton</u> Date: <u>5/30/2012</u>				
ZONING STAFF:	EMAIL FORM TO ARLENE.ROBINSON@MINNEAPOLISMN.GOV				
Section III. Commu	nity Planning Review				
12. List adopted sma	all area plan(s) in effect for parcel: There are no small area plans in effect for this parcel.				
13. Future land use/	designation(s) identified in The Minneapolis Plan and other adopted plans: The property				
has been transferred by the Park Board to CPED and is not used for parks. The surrounding area is					
	n Neighborhood and the zoning allows single-family homes, so single-family development				
would be in conformance with comprehensive plan. 14. Is future land use proposed in item 6 consistent with future land use plans?					
	e proposed in item o consistent with rattire land use plans? □ □ If no, why not?				
· L-1	vn adjacent parcels that could be combined with this parcel to create a larger				
development?	M adjacent parcers that could be combined with this parcer to create a larger				
Yes 🗌 No	If yes, explain possible development scenarios However, CPED owns				
	directly to the west across the alley.				
16. Is parcel identifie	ed in adopted plans as a catalyst/essential site for future development?				
	If Yes, what type of development?				
Comments: As	single-family home is in conformance with the policy guidance of the Urban Neighborhood				
category of the comprehensive plan. The lot is in the SH Shoreland Overlay District, so any proposal is required to comply with the overlay standards.					
Completed by: Jin	<u>n Voll</u> Date: <u>7/6/2012</u>				

COMMUNITY PLANNER:

EMAIL FORM TO ARLENE.ROBINSON@MINNEAPOLISMN.GOV

Planning Director Review	by: <u>Jason Wittenberg</u> Date: <u>7/30/2012</u>				
PLANNING DIRECTOR: EMAIL FORM TO ARLENE.ROBINSON@MINNEAPOLISMN.GOV					
NAME To be the Union Chaff Commonto	by: Wesley Butler	Date: 7/31/2012			
Multi-Family Housing Staff Comments	by. <u>vvesicy buller</u>	Date. <u>173 1720 12</u>			
Comments: MF housing concurs					
Single-Family Housing Staff Comments	by: Elfric Porte	Date: 7/31/2012			
Comments: Single Family concurs with the propose	<u>d.</u>				
Real Estate Development Services Staff Comments	by: <u>Darrell Washingtor</u>				
Comments: Proposal appears consistent with approved real estate policies					
	han Krintin Cuild	Date: 8/13/2012			
Business Development Staff Comments	by: <u>Kristin Guild</u>				
Comments: <u>Business Development supports the sa</u>	<u>le oпering strategy prop</u>	oseu.			
Economic Development Director Review	by: Cathy Polasky	Date: 8/17/2012			
Economic Development Biledtol 1 teviow	<u> </u>				
PLEASE CHECK ONE BOX:					
PROCEED to market the property as proposed					
Project Coordinator: Contact Community Planner (in Section III) in advance of CD Committee hearing to					
acquire City Planning Commission finding of consistency with The Minneapolis Plan for Sustainable Growth					
HOLD this property for discussion at next available Director's Meeting					
Note: If there is policy conflict or strategic options outlined in this form, this land sale must be discussed at a					
Director's Meeting so that a decision on whether or not to proceed can be made					
Director's Notes (reason for HOLD status):					
II. Disaba Basina	by: Tom Streitz	Date: 8/13/2012			
Housing Director Review	by. Tom Sucite	Dato. <u>0/10/2012</u>			

EMAIL COMPLETED FORM TO ARLENE.ROBINSON@MINNEAPOLISMN.GOV

Note: The completed and signed CPED public land form and the Planning Commission action as to the consistency with the City's Comprehensive Plan *must* be attached to the staff report that is submitted to the Community Development Committee.

Section I. Property Information

PROJECT COORDINATORS COMPLETE SECTION I.

ENTIRE FORM MUST BE COMPLETED PRIOR TO MARKETING PROPERTY				
REPASEATUACHEVAROP SUBILICARROPERITYAND/ALE/ADUACENTEPARGELS				
Submitted by:	Earl Pettiford	•		
Phone #:	<u>612-673-5231</u>			
Form Initiated Date:	<u>11/17/2011</u>			
Complete by Date:				
1. Address:	5200 Penn Ave N			
2. Property Identific	cation Number (PIN): <u>1111821120157</u>			
3. Lot Size:	irregular. 9,858 s.f.			
4. Current Use:	<u>VLND</u>			
5. Current Zoning:	FP/R1/SH			
6. Proposed future	use (include attachments as necessary):	Single Family House Development		
7. List addresses of adjacent parcels owned by CPED/City: 5201 52 nd Ave N				
8. Project Coordina	ator comments: <u>Will Advertise for Single F</u>	amily Development Proposals		
PROJECT COORDINATOR: EMAIL FORM TO ARLENE.ROBINSON@MINNEAPOLISMN.GOV				
Section II. Zoning F	Review			
9. Lot is Bu	ildable for any structure ⊠	Non-Buildable for any structure		
Explain: SF Hous	sing development is allowed	•		
10. Will any land use	e applications be required to achieve the	proposed future use noted in item 6?		
Yes ⊠ No	If yes, what application	s? At minimum, administrative site plan review		
will be required.		•		
11. Comments:				
Completed by: Tor	<u>m Leighton</u> Date: <u>5/30/2012</u>			
ZONING STAFF:	EMAIL FORM TO ARLENE.ROBINS	ON@MINNEAPOLISMN.GOV		
Section III. Community Planning Review				
12. List adopted small area plan(s) in effect for parcel: There are no small area plans in effect for this parcel.				
13. Future land use/designation(s) identified in The Minneapolis Plan and other adopted plans: The property				
has been transferred by the Park Board to CPED and is not used for parks. The surrounding area is				
designated Urban Neighborhood and the zoning allows single-family homes, so single-family development would be in conformance with comprehensive plan.				
14. Is future land use proposed in item 6 consistent with future land use plans?				
	If no, why not?			
15. Does the City own adjacent parcels that could be combined with this parcel to create a larger				
development?				
<u></u> :	o ⊠ If yes, explain possible	development scenarios <u>However, CPED owns</u>		
5201 Oliver Avenue directly to the east across the alley.				
16. Is parcel identified in adopted plans as a catalyst/essential site for future development?				
Comments: As	omments: A single-family home is in conformance with the policy guidance of the Urban Neighborhood			
category of the comprehensive plan. The lot is in the SH Shoreland Overlay District, so any proposal is				
required to comply with the overlay standards. Also, based on the configuration of the parcel and adjacent lots				

a setback variance will probably be necessary to build a structure on this parcel.

Completed by: Jlm Voll

Date: 7/6/2012

COMMUNITY PLANNER:

EMAIL FORM TO ARLENE.ROBINSON@MINNEAPOLISMN.GOV

by: Jason Wittenberg Date: 7/30/2012 Planning Director Review EMAIL FORM TO ARLENE.ROBINSON@MINNEAPOLISMN.GOV PLANNING DIRECTOR: by: Wesley Butler Date: 7/31/2012 Multi-Family Housing Staff Comments Comments: MF housing concurs Date: 7/31/2012 by: Elfric Porte Single-Family Housing Staff Comments Comments: Single Family concurs with the proposed. Real Estate Development Services Staff Comments by: Darrell Washington Date: 8/8/2012 Comments: Proposal appears consistent with approved real estate policies... Date: 8/13/2012 by: Kristin Guild Business Development Staff Comments Comments: Business Development supports the sale offering strategy proposed. Date: 8/17/2012 by: Cathy Polasky Economic Development Director Review PLEASE CHECK ONE BOX: PROCEED to market the property as proposed Project Coordinator: Contact Community Planner (in Section III) in advance of CD Committee hearing to acquire City Planning Commission finding of consistency with The Minneapolis Plan for Sustainable Growth HOLD this property for discussion at next available Director's Meeting Note: If there is policy conflict or strategic options outlined in this form, this land sale must be discussed at a Director's Meeting so that a decision on whether or not to proceed can be made Director's Notes (reason for HOLD status): _

EMAIL COMPLETED FORM TO ARLENE ROBINSON MINNEAPOLISMN. GOV

Housing Director Review

Note: The completed and signed CPED public land form and the Planning Commission action as to the consistency with the City's Comprehensive Plan must be attached to the staff report that is submitted to the Community Development Committee.

by: Tom Streitz

Date: 8/13/2012